



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/08/2004

APPLICATION NO.	~ Fil	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/691,553	10/18/2000		Michael G. Coutts	8573.00	3877
26889	7590	11/08/2004		EXAMINER	
MICHAEL CHAN NCR CORPORATION				PATEL, HARESH N	
1700 SOUTH PATTERSON BLVD DAYTON, OH 45479-0001				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2154	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_						
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/691,553	COUTTS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Haresh Patel	2154				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. CD (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 A	ugust 2004.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under <i>I</i>	· ·					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-3 and 6-18 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-3,6-12 and 14-18 i 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 13 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-3,6-12 and 14-18 are subject to res	s/are withdrawn from consideration					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	a princity under 35 U.S.C. \$ 110/o) (d) or (f)				
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. Its have been received in Applicate ority documents have been received in the control of the control o	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-3, 6-18, are presented for examination. Claims 4 and 5 are cancelled.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 8/25/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Therefore, rejection of claim 13 is maintained.

Applicant argues (1) Chen et al., 6,195,694 (Hereinafter Chen) does not disclose, "a self service terminal having a terminal application which controls a first area of a self service terminal display screen and an embedded web browser component. The embedded web browser component controls a second area of the display screen to display third party information. The terminal application is resident on the self service terminal. By the terminal application being resident on the self service terminal, the present invention advantageously removes the possibility of downloading an unauthorized terminal application, as per claim 13". The examiner disagrees. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies "a self service terminal having a terminal application which controls a first area of a self service terminal display screen d an embedded web browser component. The embedded web browser component controls a second area of the display screen to display third party information. The terminal application is resident on the self service terminal. By the terminal application being resident on the self service terminal, the present invention advantageously removes the possibility of downloading an unauthorized terminal application, as per claim 13" is not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the

Application/Control Number: 09/691,553

Art Unit: 2154

specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26

USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). The claim is open-ended (comprising). Also, page 19, lines 1011, clearly states, "Various modifications may be made to the above described embodiments within the scope of the invention". Since, applicant's claims contain broadly claimed subject matter, it clearly reads upon the examiner's interpretation of these actions. Therefore the rejection in maintained as disclosed above.

Applicant argues (2) Chen does not disclose, "at least one user interface application being controlled by the owner of the terminal". The examiner disagrees in response to applicant's arguments. Chen very clearly discloses at least one user interface application being controlled by the owner of the terminal (e.g., a person configuring application at the kiosk machine, col., 5, line 26 – col., 6, line 44). The person (owner) of the terminal can not only control the user interface application, but can also operate the self-service terminal as being a user (himself) of the self-service terminal. The claim is open-ended (comprising). Also, page 19, lines 10-11, clearly states, "Various modifications may be made to the above described embodiments within the scope of the invention". Since, applicant's claims contain broadly claimed subject matter, it clearly reads upon the examiner's interpretation of these actions. Therefore the rejection in maintained as disclosed above.

Applicant argues (3) Chen does not disclose, "advantageously protecting from downloading an unauthorized user interface application, as per claim 13". The examiner disagrees. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies "advantageously protecting from downloading an unauthorized user interface application, as per claim 13", is not

Application/Control Number: 09/691,553

Therefore the rejection in maintained as disclosed above.

Art Unit: 2154

recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). The claim is open-ended (comprising). Also, page 19, lines 10-11, clearly states, "Various modifications may be made to the above described embodiments within the scope of the invention". Since, applicant's claims contain broadly claimed subject matter, it clearly reads upon the examiner's interpretation of these actions.

Page 4

Election/Restrictions

- 3. Amended and newly submitted claims 1-3, 6-12, 14-18, are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention, claim 13 (originally claimed) for the following reasons: Claims 1-3, 6-12, 14-18, are drawn to "displaying information, including financial information, on a display with multiple areas and an embedded web browser", classified in class 345, subclass, 418. Claims 1-3, 6-12, 14-18, has a separate utility such as lacking "a self-service terminal selecting one of a plurality of user interface applications presented to a user, classified in class 709, subclass 226".
- 4. Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 1-3, 6-12, 14-18, are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Application/Control Number: 09/691,553 Page 5

Art Unit: 2154

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

6. Claim 13, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chen et al.

6,195,694 (Hereinafter Chen), as per paper number 4, dated 4/8/2004.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/691,553

Art Unit: 2154

examiner should be directed to Haresh Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272-3973. The

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday, Thursday and Friday from 10:00 am to

8:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, John Follansbee can be reached on (571) 272-3964. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Haresh Patel

November 2, 2004

JOHN FOLLANSBEE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2122

Page 6